UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,785	07/25/2005	Linda Lefevre	Serie 6048	4802	
7590 07/29/2009 Linda K Russell			EXAMINER		
Air Liquide	acutry Domoutry cost	YANG, JIE			
Intellectual Property Department Suite 1800 2700 Post Oak Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77	Houston, TX 77056			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/29/2009	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,785	LEFEVRE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JIE YANG	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M     2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 17,20-22,24-28 and 30-32 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 17,20-22,24-28 and 30-32 is/are reje 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
·· <u> </u>			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and accomposition and accomposition for the second area as a composition and accomposition and accomposition are accomposition as a composition and accomposition are accomposed as a composition and accomposition are accomposed as a composition accomposition are accomposed as a composition are accomposition are accomposition are accomposition accomposition are accomposed as a composition are accomposition are accomposed as a composition accomposition are accomposition accomposition are accomposition accomposition accomposition are accomposition accom	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	0 □ latan ianu 0	(DTO 442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/18/2009 has been entered.

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 1-16, 18, 19, 23, and 29 have been cancelled; claims 20-22, 30, and 31 have been amended; claim 32 is added as new claim; and claims 17, 20-22, 24-28, and 30-32 are pending in application.

### Status of the Precious Rejection

Previous rejection of claims 17, 22, 24, 30, and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Stratton et al (WO 02/44430, thereafter WO'430) is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed on 5/18/2009. However, in view of the amendment and newly found reference, a new ground(s) of rejection is made (see below).

Previous rejection of claim 25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO'430 is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed on 5/18/2009.

However, in view of the amendment and newly found reference, a new ground(s) of rejection is made (see below).

Previous rejection of claims 16-17, 20-22, 24-27, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wandke (EP 0869189 machine translation, thereafter EP'189) combined with Boyer (US 5,798,007, thereafter US'007), Lemken (US 6,428,742 thereafter US'742), and Nakamura (JP 63149313, thereafter JP'313) is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed on 5/18/2009.

Previous rejection of claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP'189 in view of US'007, US'742, and JP'313, and further in view of Baxter (US 5,173,124, thereafter US'124) is withdrawn in view the applicant's amendment filed on 5/18/2009.

Previous rejection of claim 28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP'189 in view of US'007, US'742, and JP'313, and further in view of Andersson (US 5,938,866, thereafter US'866) is withdrawn in view the applicant's amendment filed on 5/18/2009.

Previous rejection of claim 31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP'189 in view of US'007, US'742, and JP'313 is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed on 5/18/2009.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1793

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 21, 22, 24, 25, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stratton et al (WO 02/44430, the corresponding US patent is US 7,147,732 B2, thereafter US'732).

Regarding independent claims 30 and 31, US'732 teaches a quenching metallic object method using a compressed mixing gas (Abstract of US'732), which reads on the limitation of rapidly cooling metal parts using a pressurized cooling gas mixture as recited in the instant claims. US'732 teaches that the mixture of gas includes carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide (Col.2, lines 16-27 of US'732), which reads on the limitation of the gas mixture including one or plurality of infrared radiation absorbing gases as recited in the instant claims. US'732 teaches that the carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide is from 12 to 20Vol.% which is within the about 5 to about 80Vol.% as recited in the instant claim 31. US'732 further teaches including hydrogen in the mixture gas (Abstract and Col.2, lines 16-27 of US'732), which reads on the limitation of optionally adding additive gas selected form hydrogen, helium, or mixtures thereof as recited in the instant claims. US'731 does not specify adjusting the

Application/Control Number: 10/511,785

Art Unit: 1793

composition of the mixture to obtain an average mixture density that is approximately same as that of nitrogen. However, US'731 teaches adjusting the similar gases (including hydrogen, carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide, and nitrogen) within the similar ranges (Col.2, lines 16-27 of US'732) for the same rapid cooling metallic object application (Col.1, lines 7-24 of US'732) as recited in the instant claims, therefore, it would be highly expected for the process of US'732 to obtain a gas mixture with an average mixture density being approximately same as that of nitrogen as claimed. MPEP 2112.01.

Regarding the limitation of convective heat transfer properties in claims 30 and 31, which fully depend on the composition of the mixture gas, US'731 teaches adjusting the similar gases (including hydrogen, carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide, and nitrogen) within the similar ranges (Col.2, lines 16-27 of US'732) for the same rapid cooling metallic object application (Col.1, lines 7-24 of US'732) as recited in the instant claims. The properties, such as convective heat transfer superior to those of nitrogen as recited in the instant claims would be inherently met in the mixture gas of US'732. MPEP 2112 III&IV.

Regarding claims 17, US'732 teaches the gas mixture additionally includes nitrogen and water vapor (Col.2, lines 16-

27 of US'732), which reads on the additional supplementary gas as recited in the instant claim.

Regarding claims 21, 22, 24 and 25, US'732 teaches adding carbon dioxide in the mixture gas, which is recognized as an absorbing gas as recited in the instant claims 21 and 22. US'732 teaches that the carbon dioxide is from 12 to 20Vol.%, which is within the range of absorbing gas in claim 24 (5-100Vol.%) and overlaps the range of absorbing gas in claim 25 (20-80Vol.%).

Regarding claim 32, US'732 teaches  $H_2/CO_2$  mixture gas with hydrogen 25-40Vol.% and carbon dioxide 12 to 20Vol.%, which reads on the limitation of the gas mixture comprising binary  $H_2/CO_2$  mixture as recited in the instant claim. The range of carbon dioxide 12 to 20Vol.% taught by US'732 overlaps the range of  $CO_2$  in the mixture about 20Vol.% to about 80Vol.% as recited in the instant claim.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US'732 in view of Nakamura (JP 63149313, thereafter JP'313).

Regarding claim 20, US'732 does not specify gas stirring system in the vessel of cooling. JP'313 teaches a process of gas quenching in a closed vessel (Abstract of JP'313). JP'313 teaches blowing the cooling gas by a circulating fan (Abstract

Application/Control Number: 10/511,785

Art Unit: 1793

of US'313), which will stir the cooling gas as recited in the instant claim. Therefore, it would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to apply a circulating fan as demonstrated by JP'313 in the process of US'732 in order to enhance the cooling result (abstract of JP'313).

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US'732 in view of Wandke (EP 0869189 machine translation, thereafter EP'189).

Regarding claims 26 and 27, US'732 does not specify the limitation of the gas mixture comprising binary He/CO<sub>2</sub> (claim 26) or H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> (claim 27) mixture with the range of CO<sub>2</sub> in the mixture about 30Vol.% to about 80Vol.%. However the composition of CO<sub>2</sub> in a binary He/CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> mixture is recognized as an effective-variable in term of cooling result, which is evidenced by EP'189. EP'189 teaches a process for gas quenching metallic workpieces (title of EP'189). EP'189 teaches that the cooling helium, hydrogen, or mixture from helium and hydrogen mix with additional up to 30Vol.% inert gas with higher power consumption ability, such as carbon dioxide (page 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of EP'189), which overlap the range of CO<sub>2</sub> in the mixture about 30Vol.% to about 80Vol.% as recited in the instant claims.

such a way the velocity and pressure are adjusted (Abstract of EP'189). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have optimized the range of  $CO_2$  in the mixture in the process of US'732 in view of EP'189 in order to obtain desired cooling result. See MPEP 2144.05 II.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US'732 in view of Andersson (US 5,938,866, thereafter US'866).

US'732 does not specify the recycling process as recited in the instant claim. US'866 teaches a method for the treatment of components by a gas mixture (Abstract of US'866). US'866 teaches the recycling of a gas mixture, wherein the helium quenching gas and remnants of nitrogen gas from a previous heat treatment are compressed in a compressor and purified by purification and allowing for the recovery of nitrogen for subsequent use (Col.4, line 57 to Col.6, line 31 of US'866). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to apply a recycling process as demonstrated by US'866 in the process of US'732 in order to facilitate the recycling of a quenching gas thereby increasing the efficiency of the installation system (Col.1, lines 7-26 of US'866).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 20-22, 24-28, and 30-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884.

The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JΥ

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793